

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,584	10/23/2003	Hideo Sugimori	1344.1126	6740	
21171 STAAS & HA	590 04/28/2009 EXAMI			IINER	
SUITE 700			MANSFIELD, THOMAS L		
WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON. DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	. ,		3624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,584	SUGIMORI, HIDEO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624	

	THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) A Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 T CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In now, thowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 16 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding encount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled in the date of the school and the corresponding encount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have set of the fill and the filled from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (1) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the provided in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and								
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Bradley B Bayat/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624	/Thomas Mansfield/ Examiner, Art Unit 3624							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1-10 remain currently rejected. Claim 11 is pending election/restriction requirement. The proposed amendment to Claim 1 has been amended to recite* inventory information based upon hardware and software of a computer to be managed", which changes the scope of the claim because the original limitation was of "inventory information based upon hardware and software of a client to be managed". This proposed amendment would require further consideration and/or search.